



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,013	07/10/2001	Wei-Sing Chu	2313-117	8860
6449 75	90 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			CHIN, CHRISTOPHER L	
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/901,013 CHU, WEI-SING					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Chris L. Chin 1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-83</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) <u>80-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/901,013

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 80-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification, as originally filed, does not provide adequate written description for the robotic system recited in claims 80-83 for the reasons of record in the previous office action.

In response to this rejection, Applicants point out that Figure 7 has been corrected to comport with the specification and claims, as originally filed. In particular, a robot 100 is shown schematically in Figure 7. Support for a robot in Figure 7 may be found in the specification, as originally filed at page 29, lines 11, 13, 15, and 17.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not convincing. Applicant's amendments to the specification and claims to recite a robot is not sufficient to meet the written description requirements of 35 USC 112 1st paragraph. Merely reciting a robot does not adequately describe the entire robotic system. The robot may be a component of the robotic system but is not the entire system.

The addition of a box that has been labeled as robot 100 in Figure 7 does not add anything substantial to the description of the robotic system since the box in the

Application/Control Number: 09/901,013

Art Unit: 1641

describe the robotic system.

The instant specification, as originally filed and as amended, does not provide a detailed disclosure of the entire robotic system that would lead one of ordinary skill in the art that Applicants had possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

figure doesn't show anything specific to describe the robot. The box also does not

2. Claims 80-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

While the specification, as originally filed, may provide support for the insertion of "robot" to the specification, drawing, and claims, the specification does not provide adequate written description for the robot itself. There is no literal support in the originally filed specification for the term "robot" but the recitation of "robotic system" suggests the presence of a robot in the system. However, the originally filed specification does not disclose any specific details about the robot itself, such as the components that comprise the robot or how the robot is controlled. Apparently the robot is an essential component of the claimed robotic system since it is responsible for performing the functions of moving the ultrasound transducer, sample, and tissue. But the specification fails to provide any detailed description of the robot for making and using the robot.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,013

Art Unit: 1641

Applicants assert that those skilled in the art would recognize from the description of the robotic system on page 29 of the specification the particular components that might comprise robot 100.

Contrary to Applicant's assertions, there is insufficient disclosure on page 29 of the specification for one of ordinary skill in the art to discern the components of the robot.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris L. Chin whose telephone number is 571-272-0815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher L. Chin Primary Examiner

Christyl L. Chin

Art Unit 1641